IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.M. No.33525-M of 2007

Date of Decision: 24.5.2007

Smt. Neetu and another.

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others.

...Respondents.

Present:- Mr.A.K.Bura,Advocate
for the petitioners.

****

S.S.SARON, J.(ORAL)

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioners for directing respondents No.1 to 4 to provide them police protection and also to protect their life and liberty from respondents No.6 to 9, who are the mother, brother, paternal uncle and maternal uncle respectively of petitioner No.1.

It is submitted that both the petitioners are major, educated and respectable adults. The date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 4.4.1988 and she is 19 years old. The date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 30.6.1985 and he is about 22 years old. It is submitted that the petitioners developed a liking for each other and they decided to solemnise their marriage. However, this was not to the liking of parents of petitioner No.1. The relatives and brother of petitioner No.1 wanted to marry her to a boy of their choice. In the circumstances, respondents No.6 to 9 who are the mother, brother, paternal uncle and maternal uncle respectively of petitioner No.1 became very annoyed. In the circumstances both the petitioners on their own solemnised

their marriage on 18.5.2007 at Pracheen Guga Mari Rama Krishna Mandir, Plot No.3042-43, Sector 19-B, Chandigarh, according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. The photographs showing the solemnisation of the marriage have been placed on record as Annexure P3. The certificate (Annexure P4) regarding solemnisation of marriage has also been placed on record. On account of the marriage the petitioners apprehend danger to their life and liberty.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and perusing the record it is appropriate to note that the petitioners have not approached respondent No.2 or respondent No.4 for redressal of their grievances as have been made in the present petition. The said respondents would be in a better position to ascertain the ground reality and in the facts and circumstances grant the necessary relief.

For the fore-going reasons, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.2 and 4 that in case the petitioners approach any of them setting out their grievances as have been made in the present petition, the same would be looked into by them independently and in accordance with law.

(S.S.SARON)
JUDGE

May 24,2007
Reema